1	PORTER   SCOTT	
2	A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION John R. Whitefleet, SBN 213301	
3	350 University Ave., Suite 200	
4	Sacramento, California 95825 TEL: 916.929.1481	
5	FAX: 916.927.3706	
6	Attorney for Defendant CITY OF LINCOLN	
7	HAMED OF A THE	C DICTRICT COURT
8		S DISTRICT COURT ICT OF CALIFORNIA
9		
10	D.J.M.J., Successor-in-interest to Decedent DAVID JAMES MANDEVILLE, SR., by	Case No. 2:22-cv-01167-KJM-DB
11	and through his Guardian Ad Litem	STIPULATION REGARDING
12	ANGELA MANDEVILLE; D.AM., Successor-in-interest to Decedent DAVID	RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT AND FILING OF AMENDED COMPLAINT
13	JAMES MANDEVILLE, SR., by and	
14	through his Guardian Ad Litem ANGELA MANDEVILLE; D.J.M., Successor in-	
15	Interest to Decedent DAVID JAMES	Complaint Filed: 07/05/2022
16	MANDEVILLE, SR., by and through his Guardian Ad Litem CLAIRESSA	
	FRAZIER; ANGELA MANDEVILLE, an individual, KIMBERLY WRIGHT, an	
17	individual, KNVIBERE I WRIGITI, all	
18	Plaintiffs,	
19	r mintins,	
20	v.	
21	CITY OF LINCOLN, a municipal	
22	corporation; CITY OF ROCKLIN, a municipal corporation; and DOES 1-25,	
23	inclusive, individually and in their official	
24	capacity as police officers for the CITY of LINCOLN and/or the CITY OF ROCKLIN;	
25	and DOES 26-50, inclusive, individually and	
26	in their official capacity as employees for the Lincoln Police Department and/or the	
27	Rocklin Police Department,	
28	Defendants.	
_	/	
	{02783649.DOCX}	1

STIPULATION REGARDING RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT AND FILING OF AMENDED COMPLAINT

## Case 2:22-cv-01167-KJM-DB Document 23 Filed 08/31/22 Page 2 of 2

	Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 144(a), Plaintiffs D.J.M.J., Successor-in-interest to Decedent		
,	DAVID JAMES MANDEVILLE, SR., by and through his Guardian Ad Litem ANGELA		
,	MANDEVILLE; D.AM., Successor-in-interest to Decedent DAVID JAMES MANDEVILLE, SR., by		
	and through his Guardian Ad Litem ANGELA MANDEVILLE; D.J.M., Successor in-Interest to		
,	Decedent DAVID JAMES MANDEVILLE, SR., by and through his Guardian Ad Litem CLAIRESSA		
,	FRAZIER; ANGELA MANDEVILLE, an individual, KIMBERLY WRIGHT, an individual, and		
,	Defendant CITY OF LINCOLN, through their respective counsel, agree that Defendant CITY OF		
;	LINCOLN need not respond to the Complaint, insofar as a result of meet and confer efforts, Plaintiffs		
,	will be filing an Amended Complaint no later than September 12, 2022.		
)			
	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
,			
,	Dated: August 30, 2022 PORTER SCOTT		
	A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION		
;	By/s/John R. Whitefleet John R. Whitefleet		
,	Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF		
'	LINCOLN		
;	Dated: August 30, 2022 THE WARD FIRM		
)			
)	By <u>/s/Justin Ward (auth'd on 8/24/22)</u> Justin Ward		
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
,			
,	<u>ORDER</u>		
-	Good cause appearing, Defendant CITY OF LINCOLN need not respond to the Complaint (ECF No. 1),		
	insofar as Plaintiffs will be filing an Amended Complaint no later than September 12, 2022.		
,	DATED: August 30, 2022.		
	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
'			
	{02783649.DOCX} 2		

STIPULATION REGARDING RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT AND FILING OF AMENDED COMPLAINT